

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	SHAMAIN STEWART,	Case No. 2:20-cv-01855-APG-DJA
4	Plaintiff	ORDER
5	v.	
6	GIMENEZ, et al.,	
7	Defendants	
8		

9 **I. DISCUSSION**

10 On July 1, 2021, the Court issued an order screening Plaintiff's pro se complaint
11 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. (ECF No. 9.) The Clerk of the Court mailed a copy of
12 the screening order to the address that Plaintiff provided to the Court, but the screening
13 order came back as undeliverable to that address. (ECF No. 10.) The Court notes that
14 pursuant to Nevada Local Rule of Practice IA 3-1, a "pro se party must immediately file
15 with the court written notification of any change of mailing address, email address,
16 telephone number, or facsimile number. The notification must include proof of service on
17 each opposing party or the party's attorney. Failure to comply with this rule may result in
18 the dismissal of the action, entry of default judgment, or other sanctions as deemed
19 appropriate by the court." Nev. Loc. R. IA 3-1.

20 This Court grants Plaintiff thirty (30) days from the date of entry of this order to file
21 his updated address with this Court. If Plaintiff does not update the Court with his current
22 address within thirty (30) days from the date of entry of this order, this case will be subject
23 to dismissal without prejudice.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **II. CONCLUSION**

2 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff will file his updated
3 address with the Court within **thirty (30) days** from the date of this order.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Plaintiff fails to timely comply with this order,
5 this case will be subject to dismissal without prejudice.

6

7 DATED this 18th day of August 2021.

8

9 

10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28